ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client:

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

 Client ID:
 M130742

 Date Received:
 07/19/12

 Date Extracted:
 07/24/12

 Date Analyzed:
 07/24/12

 Matrix:
 Water

 Units:
 ug/L (ppb)

 Project:
 Metro Self Monitor M130742, F&BI 207260

 Lab ID:
 207260-01 x10

 Data File:
 207260-01 x10.055

 Instrument:
 ICPMS1

 Operator:
 AP

Alaskan Copper Works

Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 93 60 125

Concentration
Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 206
Nickel 198
Copper 435
Zinc <50

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Method Blank Not Applicable

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:

07/24/12 07/24/12 Water ug/L (ppb) Client:

Alaskan Copper Works

Project: Lab ID:

Metro Self Monitor M130742, F&BI 207260 I2-488 mb

Data File: I2-488 mb.049 Instrument: ICPMS1 ΑP

Operator:

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 86

Lower Limit: 60

Upper Limit:

125

Concentration

<1

Analyte:

ug/L (ppb)

 ${\bf Chromium}$ Nickel ${\bf Copper}$ Zinc

<1 <1 <5

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 07/27/12 Date Received: 07/19/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor M130742, F&BI 207260

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 207300-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	104	103	71-130	1
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.15	103	101	71-120	2
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.90	101	100	52-134	1
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	6.70	105	103	51-142	2

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent							
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance				
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria				
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	80-119				
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	83-119				
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	81-120				
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	102	82-120				

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- ${\bf J}$ The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

7.260 Send Report to (1870)	PROJECT NAMENO. METRO SELS MO-TOR M				7.			TURNAROUND TIME							
Company AUASKA C Address 628 S.					7	M/30742			Sharderd (3 Weeks) Kitch Ca/ Rush thurges authorized by:						
City, State, ZIP SEATTLE Phone # 206-571-6033	E WA	98/3	4	REMARKS				5.			**		OR	eturo e	PLE DESPOSAL other 30 days emples with instructions
					1			1	1	MAL	YSES	tequ	estel	<u> </u>	
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	ТРН-Савойие	BTEX by 8621.	VOCe by 8960	SVOCe by 8270	CR CLINES				Notes
M180442	01	7/15/12	12:30	H20	1						X				
	,										_		_	\bot	<u> </u>
9															
										_					
(4															
1								e l	T		T				
									1	_	_			-	

Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West

Seattle, WA 98119-2029

Ph. (206) 285-8282

Pax (206) 283-5044

FORMANCOCNCOC.DOC

// SIGNATURE	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME	
Reliagnished by:	Geyas THOMPSON	ACN	7/19/1	1:000	
mi as aun	Mian Phan	FEBT	7/19/12	1:46	
Relinquished fr.					
Received by:			-		

Samples received at ____ °C

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

July 27, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on July 19, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor M130742, F&BI 207260 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0727R.DOC